



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/194,356	09/02/1999	DARIO NERI	515-4132	3100	
23599 7590 02/17/2006			EXAMINER		
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			HARRIS, A	HARRIS, ALANA M	
SUITE 1400	DON BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201		1643		

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/194,356	NERI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1643		

	Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 28 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a	a) and the appropriate extension. The appropriate extension	ension fee have on fee under 37			
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
NOTICE OF APPEAL		*** • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS		<i>.</i>				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	, but prior to the date of filing a brie	or, will <u>not</u> be entered	pecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	om). Dilloidei attott attavot seatcit (see Mc	TE below),				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		•				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ v ovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>38-42 and 46</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>30-37,43-45,47,53-55 and 57-61</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		N (. 4)				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	out before or on the date of filing a nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will a evit or other evidence	is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears over and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant to See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	alls to provide a (1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanating REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
13. Other: ALANA M. HARRIS, PH.D. ALANA M. TYPANINER						
		Overno	OUL DH D.			
		ALANA M. H. PRIMARY	EXAMINER			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Remarks filed December 28, 2005 includes a letter from Dr. Sekiguchi, which is not recognized by the Office as a formal declaration. Notwithstanding, as noted in the Final Rejection mailed November 21, 2005 the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) is a statutory bar and cannot be overcome by an affidavit or declaration, see MPEP 2133.02.